

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 2231 -1450 www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/910,165 07/20/2001 Hiroshi Kuzuyama 5000-4915 1876 06/14/2004 EXAMINER MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. KIM, CHRISTOPHER S 345 Park Avenue PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT New York, NY 10154

> 3752 DATE MAILED: 06/14/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

O9/910,165

Examiner

Christopher S. Kim

Applicant(s)

KUZUYAMA, HIROSHI

Art Unit

3752

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 10 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
<ul> <li>a)</li></ul>
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a) I they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) $\square$ they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): rejections under 35 USC 102 and 103.
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: <u>1,9-12 and 14</u> .
Claim(s) objected to:
Claim(s) rejected: <u>13</u> .
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
10. ☑ Other: See Continuation Sheet
Christopher S. <del>Kim</del> Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3752

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 10. Other: Applicant's specification defines the injection mechanism and pressure increasing mechanism as assemblies which include the third cylindrical member and the separating plate as well as othere elements. By reciting the third cylindrical member, separating plate, injection mechanism, and pressure increasing mechanism as elements of the fuel injector, it appears that claim 13 presents double inclusion of elements since some elements are sub elements of others. The metes and bounds of the claim cannot be determined..